What does Web 2.0 Security mean?

- What was Web 1.0?
  - A document graph
  - Primarily a library with a document index
- What is special about Web 2.0?
  - A people graph
  - A new way for humans to organize
- What does this mean for Security?
  - Need to think about people and their psychology more
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Threats to users and the graph
Protect the Graph

From what?

- Fake accounts/objects
- Compromise
  - Phishing
  - Malware
- Creepers and Spammers
# Fake accounts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Id</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>100001705257960</td>
<td>Raegan Mcfadden</td>
<td><a href="mailto:akncestorannie@yahoo.com">akncestorannie@yahoo.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>100001736819193</td>
<td>Fiona Allen</td>
<td><a href="mailto:sexwy56@yahoo.com">sexwy56@yahoo.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>10000135058489</td>
<td>Felicity Fuller</td>
<td><a href="mailto:guiltyboo5398@yahoo.com">guiltyboo5398@yahoo.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Log in as
- Go to cs/info.php
- Go to cs/fit.php
- Go to si/activity.php
Compromised nodes - Phishing

Domains & URLs are cheap. Text is cheaper!
Compromised nodes - Phishing

“Doesn’t need to look like the Facebook login page or the Facebook connect dialog.”

“This is NOT a Facebook login page” – Doing their best NOT to phish users.
Compromised nodes - Malware
Compromised nodes – Malware

- Active September 2008 – March 2011
- Victims solving CAPTCHAs
- Extremely adaptive
- Monetized via spam, harvesting phone numbers and credit cards
- Not isolated to Facebook, also active on other social networks
Creepers and Trolls

- Unwanted friend requests
- Chain letters
- Comments and wall posts on pages and social plugin
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The Efficient Abuse Frontier (the Front)
Balance of Power

- **What the attackers have:**
  - Labor. They have much more
  - Distributed botnets, compromised webhosts, infected zombies
  - Fake and compromised objects (events, apps, pages, groups, users, ...)

- **What we have:**
  - Data centers, content distribution networks, client-side javascript
  - User feedback -- spam reports, feed hides, friend rejects
  - Knowledge of patterns, anomalies, and global graph structure
  - Shared secrets with users
Adversarial Cycle (how the Front shifts)

- **Attack**
  - Initial Detection
  - Detect
  - Defender Responds
  - Defense
  - Mutate

- **Defender**
  - Attacker Detects
  - Attacker Controls
  - Defender Controls
  - Begin Attack
  - Attack

Begin Attack → Initial Detection → Detect → Defender Responds → Defense → Mutate → Attacker Detects → Attacker Controls
Phishing Example

messages sent (thousands/hour)

time since start of attack (hours)
User Feedback
How does this differ from traditional learning?

- Attackers mutate their own patterns
- Latency is really important
- Feature selection depends on economic and system externalities
- Many simultaneous and evolving channels
- Real-time response
Graph and User Protection

- User writes checks/classifications on Facebook graph.
- User reads response.
- User responds to response.
- Cleaning occurs.
Design Principles

- Many classification/ranking algorithms
- Features are important
  - Make creation easy
  - Many sources
  - Share across channels
  - Feedback
- Policy rules above machine learning
  - Holdouts
  - Business logic
  - Response flexibility (dynamic graphs)
Real time classification architecture
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Required Features
Features
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Feature Loops!

25 Billion actions per day

Report!
Features

- Leverage the graph to protect the graph
- Anomalous behavior (IP, User, Geo, etc.)
- Entity reputation (IP, User, Cookie, URL, etc.)
- Entity state (# friends, # of likes, etc.)
- Blacklists
- Classifiers
- User feedback
  - Explicit reports
  - Actions
Responses

- CAPTCHAs
- Blocks

- Put through educational flow (feature blocks)
- Asynchronous actions

- Authentication:
  - Social challenge
  - Password reset
  - SMS verification
Policies

- Are Graphs
- Combine the pieces:
  - User Feedback (Seeds)
  - Classification (Deciders)
  - Associations (Expanders)
  - Responses
Expressing Features and Policies

- Two different example features:
  
  \[\max(\text{Map(DomainSpamScore, ExtractDomains(Text))})\]
  
  \[\text{Count(Intersect(LikedPages(Sender), LikedPages(Receiver)))}\]

- An example Policy:

  \[
  \text{And(}\text{IsChannel("messages")}, \\
  \text{And(}\text{GreaterThan(}\text{Count(ExtractURLs(Text)), 0)}, \\
  \text{And(} \\
  \text{GreaterThan(} \\
  \text{ClassifyScore("fakers", "2011-03-15"), 0.41),} \\
  \text{GreaterThan(} \\
  \text{ClassifyScore("bad_urls", "2011-03-14"), 0.74} \\
  )))) \\
  \Rightarrow \text{SpamFolder}
  \]
Bad URLs got through! Now what?

- Delay in classifying a URL as bad
- Linkshim
  - Layer of indirection
  - Real-time click stream information
  - Can control access to known malicious sites and warn users, slowing distribution of the attack
- Display time checks
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UEX challenges – Friction is bad

- Actionable user feedback & user education
  - Self and social remediation

- Best fit response
  - Couple the response form to the attack
  - Contextual to aid education and understanding
  - Make hard for attackers to spoof
Systems challenges

- Classification
  - Pipelines for training, validating & deploying need to be fast
  - Modular design allowing for isolation of classifiers
  - High throughput & uptime

- Feature extraction (feature data layer)
  - Rich features – Offline mining
  - Integration – Multiple data sources
  - Performance – Function of data sources and complexity
  - Integrity and Availability – Failures, defaults.
Systems challenges

- Detection
  - Detect breaches in the ‘front’ & respond
  - Dynamically adapt response graphs on quality feedback

- Code quality
  - Find potential security flaws early
  - Make it impossible for certain security flaws to exist – abstractions
  - Static & Dynamic analysis
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Summary

- Need to understand and think about people:
  - fear and greed attacks

- Adversarial learning problem:
  - Response. Response form and latency are really important
  - Features. Make it easy to try out new features and models
  - Graph-structured responses

- Rich and wide product:
  - Share signals across channels
  - One set of hooks for complete coverage
Questions?
stein@fb.com